

The Scholars On Qur'aanic Charms

Call To Islam Research

Shaykhul-Islaam Muhammad Bin Abdul Wahhab (d1206H) (Rahimahu 'Llah) said:

"...but if what is hung around the necks is (verses) of the Qur`aan then some of the Salaf allowed this and some of them did not allow this but rather considered it to be forbidden. From amongst this latter group was Abdullaah Bin Mas`ud, (Radiya 'Llahu 'anhu)." **[Kitaab at-Tawheed]**

Shaykh Abdurrahmaan bin Hasan, (d1258H) (Rahimahu 'Llah), said in commentary to the above words of Shaykhul-Islaam:

"Know that the scholars from amongst the Sahaabah and the Taabi`een and those who came after them differed about hanging tameemahs which contained only the Qur`aan or the Names and Attributes of Allaah (written in them).

A group said that this was permissible and this was the opinion of Abdullaah bin `Amr and it is the clear sense of what is reported from Aa`ishah. This was the opinion of Abu Ja`far al-Baaqir and Ahmad in a report from him. This group understood the hadeeth (containing the prohibition of using tameemahs) to refer to that which contained shirk in it.

Another group said that this was not permissible and this was the opinion of Ibn Mas`ud and Ibn Abbaas (Radiya 'Llahu 'anhuma). It is the literal sense of the saying of Hudhayfah (Radiya 'Llahu 'anhu) and it is the opinion of Ahmad (Rahimahu 'Llah) in a report from him. This opinion was chosen by many of Ahmad's companions and declared with certainty by the later ones. They depended upon this hadeeth and other ahaadeeth that conveyed the same meaning.

I say: this opinion is the correct one due to three reasons that will become apparent for the one who considers carefully.

- a) The generality of the prohibition and there is no (text) that would specify this generality
- b) Preventing opening the doors to shirk.
- c) The one who wears this will definitely be tried by wearing this when relieving himself, performing istinjaah and the likes....." **[Fath al-Majeed pg. 109]**

Shaykh Sulaymaan Ibn `Abd al-Wahhaab (d1233H) (Rahimahu 'Llah) said:

"Know that the scholars among the Sahaabah and Taabi`een and those who came after them differed as to whether it is permissible to hang up amulets which only contain words from the Qur`aan or Names and Attributes of Allaah. One group said that this is permissible. This was the view of `Abd-Allaah ibn `Amr ibn al-`Aas and others. This is the apparent meaning of the report narrated from `Aa`ishah and it was the view of Abu Ja`far al-Baaqir and Ahmad, according to one report. They interpreted the hadeeth as referring to amulets which involve shirk; with regard to those which contain words from the Qur`aan or the Names and Attributes of Allaah, then they are like *ruqyah* which uses the same words. I say, this appears to be the view of Ibn al-Qayyim.

Another group said that this is not permissible. This was the view of Ibn Mas'ood and Ibn 'Abbaas, and is the apparent meaning of the view of Hudhayfah, 'Uqbah ibn 'Aamir and Ibn 'Akeem (may Allaah be pleased with them). This was also the view of a group of the Taabi'een, including the companions of Ibn Mas'ood and Ahmad, according to one report which was chosen by most of his companions. It was also the view of the later scholars, who quoted this and similar ahaadeeth as evidence. The apparent meaning is that it is general in application and does not differentiate between amulets which contain Qur'aan and amulets which contain other things, unlike ruqyah where there is a differentiation. This is supported by the fact that the Sahaabah who narrated the hadeeth understood it to be general in meaning, as was quoted above from Ibn Mas'ood.

Abu Dawood narrated that 'Eesa ibn Hamzah said: "I entered upon 'Abd-Allaah ibn 'Akeem and his face was red due to high fever. I said, 'Why don't you hang up an amulet?' He said, 'We seek refuge with Allaah from that. The Messenger of Allaah (Sallallahu 'alaihi wa sallam) said: "Whoever hangs up anything will be entrusted to its care...""

This scholarly difference was concerning hanging up amulets which contain Qur'aan or Names and Attributes of Allaah, so what do you think about the things which were innovated later on, doing spells (ruqyah) using the names of shayaateen (devils) and others and hanging them up, and even being attached to those shayaateen, seeking refuge in them, slaughtering animals for them, asking them to ward off harm and bring benefits – actions which are pure *shirk*? This is prevalent among many of the people, except for those whom Allaah keeps safe and sound. Think about what the Prophet (Sallallahu 'alaihi wa sallam) said and what was the practice of the Sahaabah and Taabi'een, and what the scholars after them mentioned on this topic and others. Then look at what happened in the later generations. It will become clear to you what the religion of the Messenger (Sallallahu 'alaihi wa sallam) is, and how it has now become alienated in all ways. And Allaah is the One Whose help we seek. **[Tayseer al-'Azeez al-Hameed, p. 136-138]**

Shaykh Haafidh Ibn Ahmad Al-Hakamee (d1377H) (Rahimahu 'Llah):

If they – i.e., amulets – contain clearly-written Qur'aanic verses or saheeh ahaadeeth, there was some dispute among the salaf – the Sahaabah, the Taabi'een and those who followed them – as to whether they are permissible.

Some of them – i.e., some of the Salaf – said that this was permissible. This was narrated from 'Aaishah (may Allaah be pleased with her), Abu Ja'far Muhammad Ibn 'Ali, and others among the salaf.

Some of them said that this was not allowed; they regarded it is *makrooh* and not permitted. They include 'Abd-Allaah ibn 'Akeem, 'Abd-Allaah ibn 'Amr, 'Uqbah ibn 'Aamir, and 'Abd-Allaah ibn Mas'ood and his companions such as al-Aswad and 'Alqamah, and those who came after them such as Ibraaheem al-Nakha'i and others – may Allaah have mercy on them.

Undoubtedly not allowing that is a safer precaution to prevent means that lead to wrong beliefs, especially in our own times. If most of the Sahaabah and Taabi'een regarded it as *makrooh* in those noble times when the faith in their hearts was greater than a mountain, then regarding it as *makrooh* in these times of trials and tribulations is more appropriate and is more on the safe side.

So how about when this concession has led people to things which are purely haraam and they have made it a means to those things? For example, they make amulets for seeking refuge, on which they write an aayah or soorah or the phrase "*Bismillaah ir-Rahmaan ir-Raheem*", then underneath it they put some devilish mumbo-jumbo, the meaning of which no one knows except one who has read their books.

Or they divert the hearts of the common folk from putting their trust in Allaah and make them dependent on the things that they have written, and most of them frighten the people, before anything even happens to them. One of them will come to the person whom he wants to trick out of his money, knowing that the person is relying on him and trusts him, and he says: "Such and such is going to happen to your family or your wealth or to you." Or he says, "You have a *qareen* (constant companion) from among the jinn," or the like, and he describes things to him and tells him things about himself that the Shaytaan whispers to him, to make him think that he has true insight and that he cares about him and wants to bring him some benefit. When the heart of the ignorant fool is filled with fear of what has been described to him, he turns away from his Lord and turns to this charlatan with all his heart and soul; he puts his trust in him and relies on him instead of Allaah, and says to him, "What is the way out from the things that you have described? What is the means of warding them off?" It is as if he (the charlatan) has control over benefit and harm, at which point his hopes are raised and he becomes more greedy, wondering how much he will be able to take. So he tells him, "If you give me such and such, I will write an amulet for that which will be this long and this wide" – he describes it and speaks to him in a nice manner. Then he hangs up this amulet to protect him from such and such diseases. Do you think, after all that we have mentioned, that this belief is a form of minor shirk? No way; it means that one is taking as one's god someone other than Allaah, putting one's trust in someone other than Him, turning to someone other than Him, relying on the deeds of created beings and trying to divert people from their religion. Can the Shaytaan do any of these tricks except with the help of his devilish brethren among mankind?

"Say: 'Who can guard and protect you in the night or in the day from the (punishment of the) Most Gracious (Allaah)?' Nay, but they turn away from the remembrance of their Lord" [al-Anbiyaa' 21:42]

Then along with the devilish mumbo-jumbo, he writes on the amulet something from the Qur'aan, and hangs it up when he is not taahir (in a state of purity), when he is in a state of minor or major impurity, and he never shows any respect towards it or keeps it away from other things. By Allaah, none of the enemies of Allaah have treated His Book with as much contempt as these heretics who claim to be Muslims. By Allaah, the Qur'aan was revealed to be recited and followed, for its commandments to be obeyed and its prohibitions heeded, for its information to be believed and its limits to be adhered to, for its parables and stories to serve as lessons, and for it to be believed in. **"... the whole of it (clear and unclear Verses) are from our Lord..."** [Aal 'Imraan 3:7]

But these people have ignored all of that and cast it behind their backs; they have merely memorized a few words in order to earn their living from them, like any other means of earning a living that enables them to do haraam things, not things which are permitted.

If a king or a governor wrote a letter to his subordinate, telling him to do such and such and not to do such and such, commanding the people in your city to do such and such and forbidding them to do such and such, etc., and he took that letter and did not read it or think about its instructions, and he did not

convey that to those to whom he was commanded to convey it, but instead he took it and hung it around his neck or his arm, and did not pay any attention at all to what was in it, the king would punish him severely for that. So how about that which was revealed from the Compeller of the heavens and the earth, Who has the highest description in the heavens and on earth, to Whom is all praise in the beginning and at the end, to Whom all things return, so worship Him and put your trust in Him, He is sufficient for me, there is no god but He, in Him I put my trust and He is the Lord of the Mighty Throne.

And if they (amulets) contain anything but the two revelations (i.e., Qur'aan and saheeh Sunnah) then this is shirk without a doubt, and is more akin to the *azlaam* (arrows used during the jaahiliyyah for seeking luck or help in decision making) in being far-removed from the characteristics of Islam. If they (amulets) contain anything other than the two revelations and instead contain mumbo-jumbo from the Jews or worshippers of the temple, stars or angels, or those who use the services of the jinn, etc., or they are made of pearls, strings, iron rings, etc., then this is *shirk*, i.e., hanging them up or wearing them is *shirk*, beyond a doubt, because they are not among the permissible means or known forms of treating disease. It is simply a belief that they will ward off such and such a problem or pain because of their so-called special features. This is like the belief of idol-worshippers concerning their idols, and they are like the *azlaam* (arrows) which the people of the jaahiliyyah used to take everywhere with them and consult whenever they had to make a decision. These were three arrows, on the first of which was written 'Do', on the second 'Do not do' and on the third 'Try again.' If the person picked out the one which said 'Do', he would go ahead and do that thing; if it said, 'Do not do', he would not do it, and if it said, 'Try again,' he would consult them again. Instead of this, Allaah – to Whom be praise – has given us something better, which is the prayer of Istikhaarah.

In conclusion, these amulets which do not contain Qur'aan or Sunnah are just like the *azlaam* in that they involve corrupt beliefs and go against the sharee'ah and are far-removed from the characteristics of Islam. Those who believe in pure Tawheed keep as far away as possible from such things. The faith in their hearts is too great to permit anything of this sort to enter their hearts. Their status is too high and their certainty of faith is too strong for them to put their trust in anyone other than Allaah or to seek the protection of anyone other than Him. And Allaah is the Source of strength. **[Ma'aarij al-Qubool, 2/510-512]**

The scholars of the Standing Committee [**Shaykh 'Abd al-'Azeez ibn Baaz** (Rahimahu 'Llah) (d1420H), **Shaykh 'Abd-Allaah ibn Ghadyaan** (Hafidhahu 'Llah), and **Shaykh 'Abd-Allaah ibn Qa'ood** (Hafidhahu 'Llah)] said:

"The scholars are agreed that it is haraam to wear amulets if they contain anything other than Qur'aan, but they differed concerning those which do contain Qur'aan. Some of them said that wearing these is permitted, and others said that it is not permitted. The view that it is not permitted is more likely to be correct because of the general meaning of the ahaadeeth, and in order to prevent means of *shirk*. **[Fataawa al-Lajnah al-Daa'imah, 1/212]**

And Allaah knows best.